

# **POLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE**

**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF  
CHIEF OF STAFF**

**ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS SECTION**



***2010 Protective Action Annual Report***

***April 1, 2011***

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction .....	4
2010 Protective Action Incident Summary .....	5
2010 Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/District Department of Law Enforcement.....	6
2010 Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/Facility Department of Detention .....	7
2010 Deadly Protective Action Summary.....	8
2010 Electro-muscular Disruption (EMD) Device Protective Action Incidents.....	10
2010 Canine Protective Action Incidents .....	12
2010 Early Intervention Program (EIP) Alerts .....	13
2010 Arrests Involving the Use of Protective Action .....	13
2010 Protective Action Incidents Demographic Summary.....	14-16

## TABLES

<b>TABLE 1</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents Polk County Sheriff's Office.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TABLE 2</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/District Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>TABLE 3</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/Facility Department of Detention.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>TABLE 4</b>	<b>2010 Deadly Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/District Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>TABLE 5</b>	<b>2010 EMD Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/District Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>TABLE 6</b>	<b>2010 EMD Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/Facility Department of Detention.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>TABLE 7</b>	<b>2010 Canine Protective Action Incidents by Bureau/District Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>TABLE 8</b>	<b>2010 Arrests Involving the Use of Protective Action Polk County Sheriff's Office.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>TABLE 9</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Day of Week Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>TABLE 10</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Day of Week Department of Detention .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>TABLE 11</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Subject Age Group Department of Law Enforcement.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>TABLE 12</b>	<b>2010 Protective Action Incidents by Subject Age Group Department of Detention.....</b>	<b>16</b>

## **INTRODUCTION**

Pursuant to P.C.S.O. General Order 1.5 – Protective Action and CALEA Standard 1.3.13, an annual review and analysis regarding the agency use of protective actions has been completed.

The 2010 Protective Action Annual Report provides a comprehensive review of all reported protective actions by agency members during 2010 and provides a detailed summary and analysis of protective action incidents within each department/office, division, and bureau/district component of the agency.

The Sheriff's Office Protective Action Policy is delineated in General Order 1.5 – Protective Action. “It shall be the policy of the Sheriff’s Office to maintain stringent guidelines on the use of protective action by agency members. Authorized members shall be trained in approved methods of self-defense and the agency use of protective action policy prior to assignment to duties where protective action may be used. Members acting within their official capacity may use only that amount of protective action which is reasonably necessary to effect lawful objectives. Justifications for using protective action are those facts known or perceived by a member at the time protective action is utilized. All members shall act in good faith in the exercise of use of protective action to conform with the provisions of Florida Statutes, and with this General Order.”

All members, sworn and certified, are required to report and document the use of a protective action pursuant to General Order 1.5. Department of Law Enforcement and Department of Detention members are required to report, document and compile agency Form #206A – Protective Action Report. All Protective Action Reports are reviewed by supervisory/command staff for policy compliance. All Protective Action Reports are either approved by command staff and/or referred to the proper authority for further review and inquiry/investigation regarding policy compliance and/or training issues.

All approved Protective Action Reports are forwarded to the Administrative Investigations Section (AIS) for review and entry into the Administrative Investigations Management (AIM) System. The data is then tracked for contemporaneous review and reporting purposes in conjunction with the agency’s Early Intervention Program (EIP).

A copy of all approved Protective Action Reports is forwarded to the Training Section for subject matter expert review regarding training/proficiency requirements, equipment, and officer safety issues.

All reported protective action incidents during 2010 involved members assigned to the Department of Law Enforcement and the Department of Detention. There were no reported uses of protective action within the Office of the Sheriff and the Office of Business Affairs during 2010.

## 2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENT SUMMARY

A total of 488 protective action incidents were documented in the Polk County Sheriff's Office for the period from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. This represents a decrease of 52 from 2009 (540) as summarized below (Table 1).

### 2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS POLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

<b>OFFICE/DEPARTMENT</b>	<b>YEAR 2007</b>	<b>YEAR 2008</b>	<b>YEAR 2009</b>	<b>YEAR 2010</b>	<b><i>DIFFERENCE 2009/2010</i></b>
Office of the Sheriff	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
Department of Law Enforcement - DLE	212	156	208	160	<i>-48</i>
Department of Detention - DOD	335	265	332	328	<i>-4</i>
Office of Business Affairs - OBA	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
<b>TOTAL PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>488</b>	<b><i>-52</i></b>

**TABLE 1**  
**Note: Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

A review of the reported 2010 protective action data revealed the following:  
[CALEA 1.3.13]

#### Training Issues

Analysis by Training Section subject matter experts did not reveal any patterns or trends that identified any specific training/proficiency issues regarding member use of protective action.

The Training Section subject matter experts revealed during 2010 there had been no accidental discharges during weapon cleaning and they give credit to the current safety procedures that are in place and educating the members by constantly reminding them of the dangers related to accidental discharges.

#### Policy

Analysis by Command/Supervisor subject matter experts did not reveal any patterns or trends that could indicate the requirement for policy review/modification regarding protective action.

#### Equipment

In 2010, the Training Section began utilizing the simulator for Taser® recertification. The utilization of the simulator created a more realistic situation for the members and forced them to use verbal skills and visually watch the suspect for body language that would indicate a potential attack.

**2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/DISTRICT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**

A total of 160 protective actions were reported and documented in the Department of Law Enforcement between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010. This represents a decrease of 48 from 2009 protective action incidents of 208. Table 2 below summarizes the total number of the 160 Department of Law Enforcement protective action incidents occurring within specific divisions and bureaus/districts.

The total number of activity calls in 2010, which includes citizen calls for service as well as self-generated calls for service increased by 33,085 (319,846 in 2010 versus 286,761\* in 2009).

\*Number of Activity Calls shown in 2009 Protective Action Report later amended.

**2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/DISTRICT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**

<b>BUREAU/DISTRICT</b>	<b>PHYSICAL CONTACT</b>	<b>NON-LETHAL WEAPON</b>	<b>DEADLY PROTECTIVE ACTION</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
<b>Bureau of Criminal Investigations – BCI</b>	0	0	1	1
<b>Bureau of Special Investigations – BSI</b>	0	2	1	3
<b><i>CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION</i></b>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>
<b>Bureau of Support Services - BSS</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Bureau of Support Operations - BSO</b>	0	0	2	2
<b><i>SPECIAL OPERATIONS DIVISION</i></b>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>
<b>Central District - CEN</b>	3	30	1	34
<b>Northeast District - NE</b>	1	9	4	14
<b>Southeast District - SE</b>	0	19	2	21
<b><i>EAST DIVISION</i></b>	<i>4</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>69</i>
<b>Northwest District - NW</b>	6	36	3	45
<b>Southwest District - SW</b>	1	32	7	40
<b><i>WEST DIVISION</i></b>	<i>7</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>85</i>
<b>TOTALS EACH LEVEL – 2010</b>	11	128	21	160

**TABLE 2**  
**Note: Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

**2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/FACILITY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION**

A total of 328 protective action incidents were reported and documented within the Department of Detention between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010. This represents a decrease of 4 from 2009 protective action incidents of 332.

The total number of Department of Detention housed inmates in 2010 increased by 269 inmates (30,306 in 2010 versus 30,037 in 2009.)

Table 3 summarizes the total number of 2010 Department of Detention protective action incidents occurring within specific divisions and bureaus/facilities.

**2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/FACILITY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION**

<b>BUREAU / FACILITY</b>	<b>PHYSICAL CONTACT</b>	<b>NON-LETHAL WEAPONS</b>	<b>DEADLY PROTECTIVE ACTIONS</b>	<b>TOTALS</b>
Central County Jail - CCJ	66	28	0	94
South County Jail - SCJ	92	109	0	201
<b><i>SECURITY DIVISION</i></b>	<b><i>158</i></b>	<b><i>137</i></b>	<b><i>0</i></b>	<b><i>295</i></b>
Court & Support Services - C&SS	3	1	0	4
Intake and Records Bureau - I&RB	26	3	0	29
<b><i>SUPPORT DIVISION</i></b>	<b><i>29</i></b>	<b><i>4</i></b>	<b><i>0</i></b>	<b><i>33</i></b>
<b>TOTALS-EACH LEVEL – 2010</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>328</b>

**TABLE 3**  
**Note: Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

## **2010 DEADLY PROTECTIVE ACTION SUMMARY**

There were no deadly protective actions reported in the Office of the Sheriff, the Office of Business Affairs, or in the Department of Detention in 2010.

The Department of Law Enforcement reported four (4) instances of deadly protective action (firearms) against individuals and seventeen (17) deadly protective actions involving injured or dangerous animals, equaling a total of twenty-one (21) instances of deadly protective action in 2010. This represents a decrease in the total number of deadly protective actions of 15 from 2009 (36.)

An analysis of the seventeen (17) deadly protective action incidents involving injured or dangerous animals revealed the following: that all involved the use of agency-issued firearms and that all were properly reported, documented and approved by supervisory/command staff, requiring no further inquiry/investigation. Further, it was determined that no policy violations occurred during these protective actions.

The four (4) instances of deadly protective action (firearms) against individuals were documented and assigned a complaint referral (CR) number. In accordance with G.O. 52.1 – Administrative Inquiries and Investigations, these incidents were investigated by the Administrative Investigations Section and resulted in the following:

1. AI10-19. On 4/26/10, a PCSO law enforcement detective utilized deadly protective action during an undercover operation by firing his agency-issued firearm into a vehicle wherein a suspect was trying to flee after ramming two other vehicles (one of which held two children.) The administrative investigation concluded on 8/18/10 with a finding of “Other; No Violation Noted.”
2. AI10-26. On 6/25/10, a PCSO law enforcement deputy utilized deadly protective action when a suspect discharged his firearm and shot two deputies during the lawful performance of their duties. The deputy utilizing the deadly protective action was one of the two deputies who had been shot and he managed to return fire with his agency-issued firearm in their defense. The suspect was fatally wounded and both deputies were transported to Lakeland Regional Medical Center. The administrative investigations concluded on 12/9/10 with a finding of “Other; No Violation Noted.”
3. AI10-29. On 8/8/10, a PCSO law enforcement deputy utilized deadly protective action during a law enforcement pursuit by discharging his agency-issued firearm into the fleeing suspect’s vehicle as the suspect was heading toward his agency vehicle at a high rate of speed, placing him in fear of serious bodily injury or death. One of the three shots fired at the suspect’s vehicle struck the passenger in the vehicle who was treated and released at Lakeland Regional Medical Center. The administrative investigation concluded on 11/21/10 with a finding of “Other; No Violation Noted.”
4. AI10-43. On 10/23/10, two PCSO law enforcement deputies responded to a call for service and during the service call encountered the suspect who fired his weapon at the deputies, and both deputies returned fire. The suspect was fatally wounded and pronounced dead at the scene. The administrative investigation has not concluded.

Table 4 summarizes the total number of 2010 Department of Law Enforcement deadly protective action incidents occurring within specific districts/bureaus.

**2010 DEADLY PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS**  
**BY BUREAU/DISTRICT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**

DISTRICT/BUREAU	2007		2008		2009		2010		<i>DIFFERENCE- DEADLY P/A AGAINST PERSONS &amp; ANIMALS</i>  <i>2009-2010</i>	
	AGAINST PERSONS	AGAINST ANIMALS	AGAINST PERSONS	AGAINST ANIMALS	AGAINST PERSONS	AGAINST ANIMALS	AGAINST PERSONS	AGAINST ANIMALS		
Bureau of Criminal Investigations - BCI	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	<i>N/C</i>	<i>N/C</i>
Bureau of Special Investigations - BSI	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	<i>+1</i>	<i>N/C</i>
Bureau of Support Operations- BSO	0	4	0	3	0	4	0	2	<i>N/C</i>	<i>-2</i>
Bureau of Support Services - BSS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	<i>N/C</i>	<i>N/C</i>
Central District *	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	<i>-1</i>	<i>-1</i>
Northeast District - NE	0	0	0	5	0	9	2	2	<i>+2</i>	<i>-7</i>
Southeast District - SE	0	4	1	5	0	5	0	2	<i>N/C</i>	<i>-3</i>
Northwest District - NW	0	2	1	2	0	5	1	2	<i>+1</i>	<i>-3</i>
Southwest District - SW	0	2	0	3	1	8	0	7	<i>-1</i>	<i>-1</i>
<b>TOTAL DEADLY PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>17</b>	<b><i>+2</i></b>	<b><i>-17</i></b>

\*Central District originated as a result of redistricting and did not exist prior To 2009.

\*\*N/C = No Change

**TABLE 4**

**Note:** Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents.

**SOURCE:** PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011

## 2010 ELECTROMUSCULAR DISRUPTION (EMD) DEVICE PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS

There were no reported protective action incidents involving the use of Electro-muscular Disruption (EMD) devices (Taser®) within the Office of the Sheriff or the Office of Business Affairs during 2010.

There were 93 reported Department of Law Enforcement protective action incidents in 2010 involving the use of EMDs. This represents a decrease of 25 from 2009 (118) as summarized below (Table 5). All incidents were properly reported and documented. All Protective Action Reports were reviewed and approved by supervisory/command staff, requiring no further inquiry/investigation. No policy violations were noted.

There were 4 reported Department of Detention protective action incidents in 2010 involving the use of EMDs. This represents an increase of 1 from 2009 (3) as summarized on Page 11 (Table 6.) All incidents were properly reported and documented. All Protective Action Reports were reviewed and approved by supervisory/command staff, requiring no further inquiry/investigation. No policy violations were noted.

An analysis completed by the Training Section subject matter experts of all reported 2010 EMD protective action incidents revealed no patterns or trends that would require equipment upgrades, in-service training and/or policy modifications.

Table 5 summarizes the total number of 2010 Department of Law Enforcement EMD protective action incidents occurring within specific divisions and bureaus/districts.

### 2010 EMD PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT

BUREAU/DISTRICT	YEAR 2007	YEAR 2008	YEAR 2009	YEAR 2010	<i>DIFFERENCE 2009/2010</i>
Bureau of Criminal Investigations– BCI	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
Bureau of Special Investigations – BSI	1	0	1	2	<i>+1</i>
Bureau of Support Services - BSS	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
Bureau of Support Operations - BSO	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
Central District – CEN *	0	0	28	22	<i>-6</i>
Northeast District - NE	21	22	13	7	<i>-6</i>
Southeast District - SE	63	26	25	10	<i>-15</i>
Northwest District - NW	26	20	26	28	<i>+2</i>
Southwest District - SW	32	19	25	24	<i>-1</i>
<b>TOTAL EMD PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>93</b>	<b><i>-25</i></b>

\*Central District originated as a result of redistricting and did not exist prior To 2009.

TABLE 5  
Note: Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents.

SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011

Table 6 summarizes the total number of 2010 Department of Detention EMD protective action incidents occurring within specific divisions and bureaus/facilities.

**2010 EMD PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/FACILITY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION**

<b>BUREAU / FACILITY</b>	<b>YEAR 2007</b>	<b>YEAR 2008</b>	<b>YEAR 2009</b>	<b>YEAR 2010</b>	<b><i>DIFFERENCE 2009/2010</i></b>
<b>Central County Jail - CCJ</b>	1	6	2	0	<b><i>-2</i></b>
<b>South County Jail - SCJ</b>	1	1	0	2	<b><i>+2</i></b>
<b><i>SECURITY DIVISION</i></b>	<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>7</i></b>	<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>No Change</i></b>
<b>Court &amp; Support Services - C&amp;SS</b>	0	0	0	1	<b><i>+1</i></b>
<b>Intake and Records Bureau - I&amp;RB</b>	0	0	1	1	<b><i>No Change</i></b>
<b><i>SUPPORT DIVISION</i></b>	<b><i>0</i></b>	<b><i>0</i></b>	<b><i>1</i></b>	<b><i>2</i></b>	<b><i>+1</i></b>
<b>TOTAL EMD PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b><i>+1</i></b>

**TABLE 6**

**Note:** Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents.

**SOURCE:** PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011

## 2010 CANINE PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS

There were 25 reported Department of Law Enforcement protective action incidents in 2010 involving the use of agency canines. This represents a decrease of 10 from 2009 (35) as summarized below (Table 7). All incidents were properly reported and documented. All Protective Action Reports were reviewed and approved by supervisory/command staff, requiring no further inquiry/investigation. No policy violations were noted.

Table 7 summarizes the total number of 2010 Department of Law Enforcement canine protective action incidents occurring within specific divisions and bureaus/districts.

### 2010 CANINE PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY BUREAU/DISTRICT DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT

DISTRICT/BUREAU	YEAR 2007	YEAR 2008	YEAR 2009	YEAR 2010	<i>DIFFERENCE 2009/2010</i>
<b>Bureau of Criminal Investigations- BCI</b>	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
<b>Bureau of Special Investigations - BSI</b>	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
<b>Bureau of Support Services - BSS</b>	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
<b>Bureau of Support Operations - BSO</b>	0	0	0	0	<i>No Change</i>
<b>Central District – CEN *</b>	0	3	3	7	<i>+4</i>
<b>Northeast District - NE</b>	3	5	3	2	<i>-1</i>
<b>Southeast District - SE</b>	2	6	6	5	<i>-1</i>
<b>Northwest District - NW</b>	4	6	14	5	<i>-9</i>
<b>Southwest District - SW</b>	12	10	9	6	<i>-3</i>
<b>TOTAL CANINE PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS</b>	21	30	35	25	<i>-10</i>

\*Central District originated as a result of redistricting and did not exist prior To 2009.

TABLE 7

SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011

## **EARLY INTERVENTION PROGRAM (EIP) ALERTS**

A comprehensive Early Intervention Program (EIP) system is an essential component of good discipline in a well-managed law enforcement agency. The EIP is a supervisory/management tool to systematically assess various factors related to a member's performance and behavior.

The EIP is designed to identify potential below standard performance issues and resolve them by early intervention. The early intervention of these potential below standard performance issues and appropriate follow-up intervention/remedial actions shall increase the agency's accountability and offer members a better opportunity to achieve the agency's Core Values and Mission.

During the year 2010, there were no EIP alerts initiated involving the use of protective actions.

## **2010 ARRESTS INVOLVING THE USE OF PROTECTIVE ACTION**

A total of 20,771 arrests by PCSO Law Enforcement Deputies were reported and documented between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2010. This represents an increase of 1,408 from 2009 (19,363). During the course of these arrests, PCSO Deputies utilized 128 protective actions involving the use of non-lethal weapons or that were deadly protective actions. This represents a decrease of 33 from 2009 (161) as summarized below (Table 8). While the one-year comparison of the number of arrests involving protective actions in 2010 and in 2008 is significantly lower than 2007 and 2009, a check of the percentage of overall arrests involving the use of protective actions has remained within one half of a percent.

Table 8 compares the number of 2007-2010 Law Enforcement arrests involving the use of protective action occurring within the Polk County Sheriff's Office.

## **2007-2010 ARRESTS INVOLVING THE USE OF PROTECTIVE ACTION** **POLK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE** **DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**

<b>YEAR</b>	<b>NUMBER OF ACTIVITY CALLS (CALLS FOR SERVICE)</b>	<b>NUMBER OF ARRESTS</b>	<b>NUMBER OF ARRESTS INVOLVING USE OF PROTECTIVE ACTION</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE OF ARRESTS INVOLVING PROTECTIVE ACTION</b>
Year 2010	319,846	20,771	128	.6%
Year 2009	286,761*	19,363	161	.8%
Year 2008	277,109	18,568	116	.6%
Year 2007	271,637	16,673	176	1.1%

**TABLE 8**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

\*Number of Activity Calls shown in 2009 Protective Action Report later amended.

## 2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS DEMOGRAPHIC SUMMARY

Table 9 summarizes the total number of 2010 protective action incidents by day of week occurring within the Department of Law Enforcement.

### 2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT

<b>PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT</b>		
<b>DAY OF WEEK</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>	<b>APPROX. % OF TOTAL</b>
Monday	19	12.1
Tuesday	21	13.4
Wednesday	28	17.8
Thursday	16	10.2
Friday	29	18.5
Saturday	22	14.0
Sunday	22	14.0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**TABLE 9**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

**Note:** Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents. Percentages rounded to nearest tenth percent

Table 10 summarizes the total number of 2010 protective action incidents by day of week occurring within the Department of Detention.

### 2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION

<b>PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY DAY OF WEEK DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION</b>		
<b>DAY OF WEEK</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>	<b>APPROX. % OF TOTAL</b>
Monday	57	17.4
Tuesday	37	11.3
Wednesday	45	13.7
Thursday	46	14.0
Friday	58	17.7
Saturday	40	12.2
Sunday	45	13.7
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**TABLE 10**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

**Note:** Excludes Accidental Discharge Incidents. Percentages rounded to nearest tenth percent

Table 11 summarizes the total number of 2010 protective action incidents by subject age group occurring within the Department of Law Enforcement

**2010 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY SUBJECT AGE GROUP**  
**DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT**

<b>PROTECTIVE ACTIONS BY SUBJECT AGE GROUP DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT</b>		
<b>SUBJECT AGE GROUP</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>	<b>APPROX. % OF TOTAL</b>
14-17 Years of Age	12	8.6
18-25 Years of Age	41	29.3
26-30 Years of Age	19	13.6
31-35 Years of Age	15	10.7
36-40 Years of Age	14	10.0
41-45 Years of Age	12	8.6
46-50 Years of Age	16	11.4
51-55 Years of Age	5	3.6
56-60 Years of Age	3	2.1
60-68 Years of Age	3	2.1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**TABLE 11**

**Note:** Percentages rounded to nearest tenth percent.

**SOURCE:** PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011

Table 12 summarizes the total number of 2009 protective action incidents by subject age group occurring within the Department of Detention.

**2009 PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY SUBJECT AGE GROUP**  
**DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION**

<b>PROTECTIVE ACTION INCIDENTS BY SUBJECT AGE GROUP DEPARTMENT OF DETENTION</b>		
<b>SUBJECT AGE GROUP</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>	<b>APPROX. % OF TOTAL</b>
14-17 Years of Age	94	21.6
18-25 Years of Age	150	34.4
26-30 Years of Age	89	20.4
31-35 Years of Age	35	8.0
36-40 Years of Age	28	6.4
41-45 Years of Age	19	4.4
46-50 Years of Age	15	3.4
51-55 Years of Age	2	.5
56-60 Years of Age	3	.7
61-70 Years of Age	1	.2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>436*</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**TABLE 12**

**SOURCE: PCSO AIM SYSTEM 2011**

**Note:** Percentages rounded to nearest tenth percent.

\*Total includes instances of protective action wherein there were multiple subjects.